John Marshall Evans, of the District of Columbia, a Career Member of the Senior Foreign Service, Class of Minister-Counselor, to be Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary of the United States of America to the Republic of Armenia.

Tom C. Korologos, of the District of Columbia, to be Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary of the United States of America to Belgium.

Douglas L. McElhaney, of Florida, a Career Member of the Senior Foreign Service, Class of Minister-Counselor, to be Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary of the United States of America to Bosnia and Herzegovina.

William T. Monroe, of Virginia, a Career Member of the Senior Foreign Service, Class of Minister-Counselor, to be Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary of the United States of America to the Kingdom of Bahrain.

Nominations Placed on the Secretary's  $_{\rm DESK}$ 

#### FOREIGN SERVICE

PN1645 Foreign Service nominations (173) beginning Jean Elizabeth Akers, and ending Jenifer Lynn Neidhart de Ortiz, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of May 18, 2004.

#### NOMINATIONS DISCHARGED

Mr. FRIST. Continuing in executive session, I ask unanimous consent that the Foreign Relations Committee be discharged from further consideration of the following nominations: June Carter Perry, PN1548; Joyce Barr, PN1546; Barrie Walkley, PN1550; James McGee, PN1541, Cynthia Efird, PN1621; Jackson McDonald, PN1419; Christopher Dell, PN1629.

I further ask consent that the Senate proceed to their consideration, the nominations be confirmed, the motions to reconsider be laid upon the table, the President be immediately notified of the Senate's action, and the Senate resume legislative session.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The nominations considered and confirmed en bloc are as follows:

### DEPARTMENT OF STATE

June Carter Perry, of the District of Columbia, a Career Member of the Senior Foreign Service, Class of Counselor, to be Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary of the United States of America to the Kingdom of Lesotho.

Joyce A. Barr, of Washington, a Career Member of the Senior Foreign Service, Class of Counselor, to be Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary of the United States of America to the Republic of Namibia.

R. Barrie Walkley, of California, a Career Member of the Senior Foreign Service, Class of Minister Counselor, to be Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary of the United States of America to the Gabonese Republic, and to serve concurrently and without additional compensation as Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary of the United States of America to the Democratic Republic of Sao Tome and Principe.

James D. McGee, of Florida, a Career Member of the Senior Foreign Service, Class of Counselor, to be Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary of the United States of America to the Republic of Madagascar.

Cynthia G. Efird, of the District of Columbia, a Career Member of the Senior Foreign

Service, Class of Minister-Counselor, to be Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary of the United States of America to the Republic of Angola.

Jackson McDonald, of Florida, a Career Member of the Senior Foreign Service, Class of Counselor, to be Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary of the United States of America to the Republic of Guinea.

Christopher William Dell, of New Jersey, a Career Member of the Senior Foreign Service, Class of Minister-Counselor, to be Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary of the United States of America to the Republic of Zimbabwe.

## LEGISLATIVE SESSION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate resumes legislative session.

#### AUTHORITY TO FILE

Mr. FRIST. I ask unanimous consent, notwithstanding the Senate's adjournment, committees be authorized to report legislative and executive matters on Wednesday, June 30, from 10 a.m. to 12 noon.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

#### SIGNING AUTHORITY

Mr. FRIST. I ask unanimous consent that during the adjournment of the Senate, the Senator from Virginia and the majority leader be authorized to sign duly enrolled bills or joint resolutions.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

# AUTHORITY TO MAKE APPOINTMENTS

Mr. FRIST. I ask unanimous consent, notwithstanding the upcoming recess or adjournment of the Senate, the President of the Senate, the President pro tempore, and the majority and minority leaders be authorized to make appointments to commissions, committees, boards, conferences, or interparliamentary conferences authorized by law, by concurrent action of the two Houses, or by order of the Senate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

# COOPERATIVE RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY ENHANCEMENT ACT OF 2004

Mr. FRIST. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of Calendar No. 484, S. 2192.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the bill by title.

The legislative clerk read as follows: A bill (S. 2192) to amend title 35, United States Code, to promote cooperative research involving universities, the public sector, and private enterprises.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

Mr. HATCH. Madam President, I rise today to support passage of S. 2192, the Cooperative Research and Technology Enhancement Act of 2004 or CREATE Act. I am pleased that the Senate is considering this important patent legislation. I would like to thank Senators Leahy, Kohl, Grassley, Feingold and Schumer, for their work on, and cosponsorship of, this bill.

The CREATE Act responds to an important need of our inventive community. This act will encourage greater cooperation among universities, public research institutions and the private sector. It does so by enabling these parties to share freely information among researchers that are working under a joint research agreement to develop new technology. It also allows these entities, particularly universities, to structure their relationships with other research collaborators in a more flexible manner.

The CREATE Act has benefited significantly from the commendable work of our colleagues in the House. In particular, we take note of the House Report, H. Rep. 108-425, which accompanied passage of H.R. 2391, the House counterpart of S. 2192. The committee notes that the House report addresses a number of important issues related to the implementation of the act, and provides necessary guidance to the Patent and Trademark Office as to its responsibilities under the legislation.

In the interest of further transparency and guidance, and importantly to prevent the public from being subject to separate enforcement actions by owners of patentably indistinct patents, we offer the following guidance on some key aspects of this legislation. We believe that this guidance is entirely consistent with the policy objectives of the House Report, but explicate some of the most critical and complex aspects of the intended operation of the CREATE Act where multiple patents issue on the patentably indistinct inventions.

As the House report correctly notes, the CREATE Act will enable different parties to obtain and separately own patents with claims that are not patentably distinct—in other words, where the claim in one patent would be "obvious" in view of a claim in the other patent. The courts and the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office term this "nonstatutory" and "obviousnesstype" double patenting. This is not the first time that Congress has amended the patent laws in a manner that has expanded opportunities for double patenting. The Patent Law Amendments Act of 1984 first created the opportunity for double patenting for patents issued to different inventors that were owned by one entity or which were commonly assigned. In the legislative history for the Patent Law Amendments Act of 1984, Congress indicated its expectation that any newly created opportunities for double patenting would be treated no differently than double patenting for patents issued to the same inventor. We do the same today with respect to the remedial provision in the CREATE Act, but discuss